

**COMMITTEE SUMMARIES
BOARD OF VISITORS' MEETING
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
MARCH 31 & APRIL 1-2, 2005**

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
BUILDINGS AND GROUNDS COMMITTEE
10:40 - 10:50 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Buildings and Grounds Committee met on Thursday, March 31, at 12:30 p.m., in the East Oval Room in The Rotunda.

Listed as a matter of record are the resolutions approved by the Buildings and Grounds Committee that do not require action by the Full Board.

A. APPROVAL OF ARCHITECT SELECTION FOR THE SCHOOL OF NURSING BUILDING

RESOLVED that Bowie Gridley Architects, of Washington, D.C., is approved for the performance of architectural and engineering services for the School of Nursing Building.

B. APPROVAL OF SCHEMATIC DESIGN FOR THE COLLEGE AT WISE DRAMA BUILDING ADDITION AND RENOVATION

RESOLVED that the schematic design, dated March 31, 2005, and prepared by the Bushman Dreyfus Architects of Charlottesville, for the College at Wise Drama Building Addition and Renovation is approved for further development and construction.

C. APPROVAL OF CONCEPT, SITE, AND DESIGN GUIDELINES FOR THE NEW EDUCATION SCHOOL BUILDING

RESOLVED that the concept, site, and design guidelines, dated March 31, 2005, and prepared by the Architect for the University, for the New Education School Building are approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that the project will be presented for further review at the schematic design level of development.

The Committee approved the following resolutions and recommended them to the full Board for approval:

A. APPROVAL OF A PERMANENT ACCESS EASEMENT AT THE FAN MOUNTAIN OBSERVATORY

RESOLVED that the granting of a permanent easement, to an adjacent property owner for access to Fan Mountain Road on property owned by The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia, is approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to execute said easement.

B. APPROVAL OF A PERMANENT EASEMENT FOR VIRGINIA ELECTRIC AND POWER COMPANY FOR A POWER LINE ON UNIVERSITY PROPERTY ADJACENT TO THE NORTH GROUNDS CONNECTOR

RESOLVED that the granting of a permanent easement to Virginia Electric and Power Company for a power line adjacent to the North Grounds Connector on property owned by The Rector and Visitors of The University of Virginia, is approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to execute said easement and to vacate the easement for the existing power line route.

C. APPROVAL OF A PERMANENT EASEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE FOR A NATURAL GAS LINE ON STILLFRIED LANE, LOCATED AT THE KLUGE CHILDREN'S REHABILITATION CENTER

RESOLVED that the granting of a permanent easement, to the City of Charlottesville for a natural gas line on Stillfried Lane, located at the Kluge Children's Rehabilitation Center in Albemarle County on property owned by The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia, is approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to execute said easement.

D. APPROVAL OF A PERMANENT EASEMENT FOR THE ALBEMARLE COUNTY SERVICE AUTHORITY FOR WATER AND SEWER LINES ON STILLFRIED LANE, LOCATED AT THE KLUGE CHILDREN'S REHABILITATION CENTER

RESOLVED that the granting of a permanent easement, to the Albemarle County Service Authority for water and sewer lines on Stillfried Lane, located at the Kluge Children's Rehabilitation Center in Albemarle County on property owned by The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia, is approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to execute said easement.

E. APPROVAL OF SIX-YEAR CAPITAL OUTLAY PLAN

RESOLVED that the Six-Year Capital Outlay Plan for the Academic Division, the Medical Center, and The University of Virginia's College at Wise for the 2006-2012 period is approved; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to make necessary revisions to the Plan prior to its submission to the state, provided the revisions do not alter in any substantive way the overall capital program as approved.

MISCELLANEOUS REPORTS

The following are three reports, which are routinely given to the Board at each meeting.

- A. Major Projects
- B. Professional Services Contracts
- C. Pavilion Occupancy Status

MAJOR PROJECTS

University of Virginia / Academic Division / Agency 207

April 1, 2005

Project	Budget	Design Review Status			Construction		Comments
		Guidelines	Schematic	Preliminary	Start	Complete	
Arts Grounds Parking Garage Architect: Address: Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$10,600,000 (\$10,600,000)	Dec-04	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	Initiating architect/engineer selection process.
Campbell Hall Additions Architect: SMBW, P.C. Address: Richmond, VA Contract Date: August 10, 2001 Contractor: Address:	\$8,500,000 (\$8,500,000)	May-02	Jul-02	Jul-02	TBD	TBD	95% design complete. Value engineering is underway.
Center for the Arts Architect: Address: Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$98,000,000 (\$98,000,000)	Dec-04	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	Final facility planning and proforma in process. Architect selection process to begin later this spring.
Cocke Hall Renovation Architect: Schwartz Silver Address: Boston, MA Contract Date: October 30, 2003 Contractor: R.E, Lee Address: Charlottesville, VA	\$9,000,000 (\$9,000,000)	Oct-02	Aug-03	Dec-03	Mar-05	Jun-06	Contractor has begun mobilizing and asbestos abatement..
Education School Building Architect: Address: Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$37,200,000 (\$32,000,000)	Mar-05	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	Architect selection process has begun
Environmental Science Field Station Design Builder: McKenzie Construction Address: Charlottesville, VA Contract Date: March, 1998	\$2,788,000 (\$2,788,000)	Jun-98	Jan-01	Jan-01	Pier / Dock Dec-02 Phase I Oct-04	Pier / Dock Apr-04 Phase I Jul-05	Pier and Dock completed. Phase 1 (Lab and Housing) being done Design Build.

N/A = Design Not Required.

(As of 2/28/05)

Project	Budget (Authorization)	Design Review Status			Construction		Comments
		Guidelines	Schematic	Preliminary	Start	Complete	
Farm Vivarium Facility Architect: FPW Architects Address: Charlottesville, VA Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$4,000,000 (\$4,000,000)	TBD	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	Project is on hold.
Fayerweather Hall Renovation Architect: Dagit - Saylor Architect Address: Philadelphia, PA Contract Date: September 28, 1999 Contractor: Martin Horn Address: Charlottesville, VA	\$7,700,000 (\$7,700,000)	Oct-99	Nov-99	Nov-99	Jun-04	Feb-06	Construction is in progress.
Health System North Parking Garage Architect: Address: Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$28,000,000 (\$28,000,000)	Dec-04	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	University of Virginia Foundation is preparing a proforma for completing both the garage and an adjacent office/retail building.
Main Heating Plant Environmental Compliance Architect: RMF Engineering, Inc. Address: Baltimore, MD Contract Date: September 2, 2003 Contractor: Frank Lill & Sons Address: Webster, NY	\$66,000,000 (\$39,300,000)	N/A	Apr-04	N/A	Apr-05	Feb-08	Design Build awarded December 2004.
Materials Research Science & Engineering Center Architect: VMDO Architects Address: Charlottesville, VA Contract Date: April, 2001 Contractor: Barton Malow Address: Charlottesville, VA	\$41,633,849 (\$41,633,849)	Oct-01	Feb-02	May-02	Feb-03	Sep-06	35% of construction in place. Bids received for all Bid Packages except landscape/hardscape.
Medical Research Building (MR-6) Architect: Henningson, Durham & Richardson Address: Alexandria, VA Contract Date: January 11, 2000 Contractor: Address:	\$70,700,000 (\$70,700,000)	Jun-00	Feb-01	Sep-02	Jun-05	Oct-07	95% drawings have been received. RFP for construction contract in process.
N/A = Design Not Required.							(As of 2/28/05)

Project	Budget (Authorization)	Design Review Status			Construction		Comments
		Guidelines	Schematic	Preliminary	Start	Complete	
New Basketball Arena and Parking Architect: VMDO Architects, P.C. Address: Charlottesville, VA Contract Date: October 2, 2001 Contractor: Barton Malow Address: Charlottesville, VA	\$129,800,000 (\$129,800,000)	Apr-02	May-02	Sep-02	Apr-03	Jun-06	The cast in place concrete work is 95% complete. Major precast seating and garage structures are complete. The North Grounds Connector construction has begun.
NRAO Addition and Renovation Architect: Vesar, Inc. Address: Lynchburg, VA Contract Date: July 15, 1999 Contractor: Martin/Horn, Inc. Address: Charlottesville, VA	\$8,900,000 (\$8,900,000)	May-99	Sep-99	Nov-99	May-03	Feb-05	Construction is substantially complete. Certificate of use and occupancy has been requested.
Observatory Hill Dining Architect: Dagit - Saylor Architects Address: Philadelphia, PA Contract Date: July 20, 2001 Contractor: Branch & Associates Address: Roanoke, VA	\$22,000,000 (\$23,500,000)	Oct-01	Oct-01	Oct-01	Feb-03	Apr-05	Construction is 98% complete. Demolition of existing O-Hill Dining Hall and the Tree House will be completed by July 2005.
Rouss Hall / Commerce School Architect: Hartman-Cox Architects Address: Washington, DC Contract Date: August 22, 2003 Contractor: Gilbane Address: Laurel, MD	\$57,000,000 (\$57,000,000)	Dec-02	May-03	N/A	Feb-05	Aug-07	Construction has started.
School of Nursing Architect: Address: Contract Date: Contractor: Address:	\$12,000,000 (\$12,000,000)	Dec-04	TBD	N/A	TBD	TBD	A/E Selection has been completed and is being presented at March 31st B&G meeting for approval.
South Lawn - Arts and Sciences Building Architect: Polshek Partnership Architects Address: New York, NY Contract Date: January 12, 2004 Contractor: Address:	\$78,000,000 (\$78,100,000)	Jun-02	May-05	N/A	TBD	TBD	Schematic design and estimate to be completed by April 2005.

N/A = Design Not Required.

(As of 2/28/05)

**PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS
UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA**

April 1, 2005

CONTRACTS	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005 through 12-31-2004	Total 5 years 6-months	Percent for each category
Virginia Architects	104	105	65	40	45	18	377	36%
Virginia Engineers	124	106	62	25	59	23	399	38%
Total of Virginia Firms	228	211	127	65	104	41	776	74%
Out-of-State Architects	59	41	20	13	23	14	170	16%
Out-of-State Engineers	36	38	11	7	11	4	107	10%
Total of Out-of-State Firms	95	79	31	20	34	18	277	26%
Total All Firms	323	290	158	85	138	59	1053	100%

10

FEES	1999-2000	2000-2001	2001-2002	2002-2003	2003-2004	2004-2005 through 12-31-2004	Total 5 years 6-months	Percent for each category
Virginia Architects	\$ 5,366,602	\$ 6,348,738	\$22,507,827	\$2,769,654	\$ 2,066,302	\$1,940,302	\$40,999,425	48%
Virginia Engineers	\$ 1,314,589	\$ 1,543,621	\$ 1,054,858	\$ 511,737	\$ 934,695	\$ 487,842	\$ 5,847,342	7%
Total Virginia Firms	\$ 6,681,191	\$ 7,892,359	\$23,562,685	\$3,281,391	\$ 3,000,997	\$2,428,144	\$46,846,767	55%
Out-of-State Architects	\$ 2,913,020	\$ 4,862,015	\$13,641,786	\$3,099,618	\$ 8,917,768	\$ 653,515	\$34,087,722	40%
Out-of-State Engineers	\$ 771,744	\$ 1,253,928	\$ 776,303	\$ 297,228	\$ 1,240,291	\$ 63,986	\$ 4,403,480	5%
Total Out-of-State Firms	\$ 3,684,764	\$ 6,115,943	\$14,418,089	\$3,396,846	\$10,158,059	\$ 717,501	\$38,491,202	45%
Total All Firms	\$10,365,955	\$14,008,302	\$37,980,774	\$6,678,237	\$13,159,056	\$3,145,645	\$85,337,969	100%

Pavilion Occupancy Status						
Pavilion	Occupants	Assigned	Vacancy Status			Comments (Renovation Work)
			Available	Renovation Period	Projected Re-occup.	
I	David W. Breneman & Donna Plasket	July 1998				
II	B. Jeanette & Wade Lancaster	July 1991				
III	William W. & Beverly Harmon	Aug. 1994	July 2005			
IV	Larry J. Sabato	Oct. 2002	Spring 2008			
V & Annex	Gene D. & Carol Block	Oct. 2001	Winter 2007			
VI	Robert M. & Theodora Carey	May 1991				
VII	Colonnade Club					
VIII Upper Apartment	Sarah E. Turner	Aug 2004	Fall 2007			
VIII Terrace Apartment	Gladys E. Saunders	Aug. 2003	Fall 2008			
IX	Karen Van Lengen & James Welty	Aug. 1999	Aug. 2006			
X	Carl P. & Charlotte Zeithaml	Mar 2001	Summer 2006			
Montebello	Richard W. & Robin Miksad	Aug. 1994				

April 1, 2005

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
EDUCATIONAL POLICY COMMITTEE
10:50 - 11:00 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Committee met earlier today, Friday, April 1, in the East Oval Room of the Rotunda.

Mr. Block, Vice President and Provost, briefed the Committee on enrollment projections and faculty recognition. He presented for concept approval a new MBA program format, the Darden MBA for Executives (ExecMBA), in the Darden Graduate School of Business Administration. He then reported that the Department of Health Evaluation Sciences has been renamed the Department of Public Health Sciences. The Department is in the School of Medicine.

Ms. Phillips, Associate Provost for Institutional Advancement, gave a presentation on the upcoming Southern Association of Colleges and Schools re-accreditation process.

Dr. Grossman, Vice Provost for International Affairs and Professor of Pediatrics and Chief of Infectious Disease, reported on international programs.

The Chair of the Faculty Senate, Ms. Childress, Associate Professor of Medical Education, reported on the Faculty Senate's plans for the Spring semester.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
EXTERNAL AFFAIRS COMMITTEE

The Committee will meet on Saturday, April 2, at 8:30 a.m., in the East Oval Room of the Rotunda.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
FINANCE COMMITTEE
11:00 - 11:15 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Finance Committee met on Thursday, March 31, at 2:30 p.m., in the Board Room of The Rotunda.

The Committee considered the faculty and staff housing rates for 2005-2006 for the University of Virginia. The University proposed faculty and staff housing rates to increase by an average of 6.36 percent from the 2004-2005 rates.

Contract dining rates for the Academic Division and The University of Virginia's College at Wise were approved for 2005-2006. The dining rate increases for 2005-2006 range from 3.5 percent to 5.4 percent, with an average increase of four percent, for the University of Virginia and 5.0 percent for the University of Virginia's College at Wise.

The Finance Committee also considered a revision of the current spending policy to align with investment return expectations and to allow the University an opportunity to make strategic adjustments from time to time. And finally, the Committee considered a revised enrollment plan which reflects projected increases in the undergraduate and graduate enrollment levels through 2009-2010.

The Committee received reports on tuition and fees for 2005-2006, the market value and performance of the Endowment as of February 28th, and on the 2005 General Assembly Session.

Several miscellaneous financial reports were provided to the Finance Committee at this meeting, which included the report on endowment by school/foundation.

II. RECOMMENDATIONS: The following resolutions were approved by the Committee and recommended to the full Board for approval.

**APPROVAL OF PROPOSED INCREASE IN FACULTY AND STAFF HOUSING RATES
FOR 2005-2006**

RESOLVED that the faculty and staff housing rates be approved as indicated, effective July 1, 2005. The Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer is authorized to increase the rates to market level when a property is vacated.

ACADEMIC DIVISION

<u>Properties</u>	<u>Utilities Furnished</u>	<u>Rents 2004-05 Per Month</u>	<u>Amount of Increase</u>	<u>Prop. Rents Percent of Increase</u>	<u>2005-06 Per Month</u>	<u>Utilities Paid By Occupant</u>
<u>Piedmont Estates</u>						
1 Bedroom Apt. (7)(F)	WS,E,G,C,T	\$593	\$36	6.07%	\$629	
2 Bedroom Apt. (16)(UF)	WS,E,G,C,T	\$718	\$43	5.99%	\$761	
Houses (3BR) (16)(1E) (UF)	WS,C,T	\$1,030	\$70	6.80%	\$1,100	E, G
Townhouses (3BR)(5)(UF)	WS,C,T	\$1,030	\$70	6.80%	\$1,100	E, G
Farmhouse Apt. 1 (1BR)(F)	WS,E,G,C,T,AC	\$651	\$49	7.53%	\$700	
Farmhouse Apt. 2 (3 BR)(UF)	WS,E,G,C,T	\$672	\$40	5.95%	\$712	
Farmhouse Apt. 3 (1 BR)(F)*	WS,E,G,C,T,AC	\$560	\$140	25.00%	\$700	
Farmhouse Apt. 4 (2 BR)(F)	WS,E,G,C,T,AC	\$736	\$44	5.98%	\$780	
*Furnished for 2005-06						
<u>Sundry</u>						
Vyssotsky Cottage (3BR)(UF)	WS,T, AC	\$825	\$57	6.91%	\$882	E,G
McGuffey Cottage (Eff)(UF)	WS,E,V,T,AC	\$507	\$32	6.31%	\$539	
Upper Mews (1BR)(F)	WS,E,HP,C,T,AC	\$708	\$22	3.11%	\$730	
Lower Mews (1BR)(F)	WS,E,HP,C,T,AC	\$600	\$36	6.00%	\$636	
Monroe Hill Range (1BR)(UF)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$760	\$51	6.71%	\$811	
Brown College Apts. (2)(UF)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$669	\$46	6.88%	\$715	
Hereford Coll. Apts. (2)(UF)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$780	\$48	6.15%	\$828	
Hereford Coll.Princ.Res. (3BR)(UF)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$972	\$58	5.97%	\$1,030	
102 Cresap Fac. Apt. (1BR)(F)	WS,E,G,T,HVAC,V	\$725	\$44	6.07%	\$769	
Hedge House (2BR)(F)	WS,C,T,AC	\$773	\$46	5.95%	\$819	E,G
Orchard House (5RMS)(F)	WS,E,G,C,T,AC	\$372	\$26	6.99%	\$398	
118 Oakhurst, Upper (2BR)(UF)	WS,G,C	\$680	\$43	6.32%	\$723	E,T
118 Oakhurst, Lower (2BR)(UF)	WS,G,C,AC	\$680	\$43	6.32%	\$723	E,T
409 Valley Road, (2BR)(F)	WS,E,G,C,AC	\$960	\$58	6.04%	\$1,018	T
411 Valley Road, (2BR)(F)	WS,E,G,C,AC	\$960	\$58	6.04%	\$1,018	T
503 Valley Road, (1BR)(UF)	C	\$544	\$39	7.17%	\$583	WS,E,G,T
424 MLLH, (1BR)(UF)	WS,E,G,C,HVAC,V	\$693	\$49	7.07%	\$742	
423 MLLH, (2BR)(UF)	WS,E,G,C,HVAC,V	\$809	\$49	6.06%	\$858	
<u>Birdwood Properties</u>						
Cottage (1BR)(UF)	WS,C,T	\$554	\$34	6.14%	\$588	E
Garage Apt.(2BR)(UF)	WS,C,T	\$792	\$54	6.82%	\$846	E,G
Cash House (3BR)(UF)	WS,C,T,AC	\$1,013	\$68	6.71%	\$1,081	E,G
Middleton House (4BR)(UF)	WS,C,T,AC	\$1,190	\$71	5.97%	\$1,261	E,G

<u>Properties</u>	<u>Utilities Furnished</u>	<u>Rents 2004-05 Per Month</u>	<u>Amount of Increase</u>	<u>Prop. Rents Percent of Increase</u>	<u>2005-06 Per Month</u>	<u>Utilities Paid By Occupant</u>
<u>E & G Properties</u>						
Montebello (3BR/Garage)	WS,E,G,AC	\$745	\$39	5.23%	\$784	C,T
Big Morea (4BR)	WS,E,G,AC,T	\$1,042	\$48	4.61%	\$1,090	C
Little Morea (2BR)	WS,E,G,AC,T	\$800	\$34	4.25%	\$834	C
Sunnyside (4BR)	WS,E,O,AC	\$1,633	\$66	4.04%	\$1,699	C
Monroe Hill House (4BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$964	\$45	4.67%	\$1,009	C
Buckingham Palace (Eff)	WS,E,HP,AC,T	\$357	\$21	5.88%	\$378	C
Pavilion I (5BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$878	\$43	4.90%	\$921	C
Pavilion II (5BR)	WS,E,HP,T,V	\$650	\$36	5.54%	\$686	C
Pavilion III (5BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$878	\$43	4.90%	\$921	C
Pavilion IV (5BR)	WS,E,HP,T,V	\$650	\$36	5.54%	\$686	C
Pavilion V (5BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$878	\$43	4.90%	\$921	C
Pavilion VI (5BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$878	\$43	4.90%	\$921	C
Pavilion VIII Upper (3BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$566	\$34	6.01%	\$600	C
Pavilion VIII Lower (1BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$298	\$15	5.03%	\$313	C
Pavilion IX (5BR)	WS,E,HVAC,T,V	\$878	\$43	4.90%	\$921	C
Pavilion X (5BR)	WS,E,HP,T,V	\$650	\$36	5.54%	\$686	C
<u>Blandy Farm</u>						
Curator's House	WS	\$0	\$0	0.00%	\$0	E,O
Green Farm House	WS	\$65	\$0	0.00%	\$65	E,O

Notes:

- In total sixteen properties (Piedmont Houses) were brought to market this budget year.
- The (E) designates properties that have been enhanced.
- The (F) designates properties that are furnished. The (UF) designates properties that are unfurnished.
- Utility abbreviations are as follows: WS (water, sewer), E (electric), G (gas), O (oil), HP (heating plant), HVAC (heating, ventilating, and air conditioning), AC (window air conditioning), C (cable TV), V (voice), and T (trash).

**APPROVAL OF PROPOSED CONTRACT RATES FOR DINING SERVICES FOR
2005-2006 FOR THE ACADEMIC DIVISION AND THE UNIVERSITY OF
VIRGINIA'S COLLEGE AT WISE**

RESOLVED that the student contract rates for dining services be approved as shown below, effective beginning with the 2005-2006 session:

ACADEMIC DIVISION

	<u>Actual 2004-2005</u>	<u>Amount of Increase</u>	<u>Percent Increase</u>	<u>Proposed 2005-2006</u>
<u>Regular Meal Plans (1,2)</u>				
Unlimited Entry w/ \$150 Plus Dollars	\$2,990	\$110	3.7%	\$3,100
15 Meals per week w/ \$400 Plus Dollars	2,990	110	3.7%	3,100
13 Meals per week w/ \$500 Plus Dollars	2,990	110	3.7%	3,100
10 Meals per week (first year) w/ \$790 Plus Dollars	2,990	110	3.7%	3,100
10 Meals per week (upperclass) w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	2,550	90	3.5%	2,640
100 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,690	80	4.7%	1,770
80 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,470	70	4.8%	1,540
50 Meals per semester w/ \$390 Plus Dollars	1,110	60	5.4%	1,170

	<u>Actual</u> <u>2004-2005</u>	<u>Amount of</u> <u>Increase</u>	<u>Percent</u> <u>Increase</u>	<u>Proposed</u> <u>2005-2006</u>
<u>Residential College/Language House Meal Plans (1,2,3)</u>				
Unlimited Entry w/ \$150 Plus Dollars	\$3,100	\$110	3.5%	\$3,210
15 Meals per week w/ \$400 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
13 Meals per week w/ \$500 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
10 Meals per week (first year) w/ \$790 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
10 Meals per week (upperclass) w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	2,660	100	3.8%	2,760
100 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,800	80	4.4%	1,880
80 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,580	70	4.4%	1,650
50 Meals per semester w/ \$390 Plus Dollars	1,220	60	4.9%	1,280
<u>Athletic Meal Plans</u>				
Unlimited Entry w/ \$150 Plus Dollars	\$3,100	\$110	3.5%	\$3,210
15 Meals per week w/ \$400 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
13 Meals per week w/ \$500 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
10 Meals per week (first year) w/ \$790 Plus Dollars	3,100	110	3.5%	3,210
10 Meals per week (upperclass) w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	2,660	100	3.8%	2,760
100 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,800	80	4.4%	1,880
80 Meals per semester w/ \$350 Plus Dollars	1,580	70	4.4%	1,650
<u>Other</u>				
Law School Dining Spending Account (4)	\$400	(\$200)	-50.0%	\$200
<u>THE UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA'S COLLEGE AT WISE</u>				
19 Meal Plan	\$2,582	\$130	5.0%	\$2,712
12 Meal Plan	2,322	\$116	5.0%	\$2,438

- (1) Plus Dollars are credited to a student's identification card and may be used in the same manner as cash at any board dining hall and/or retail operation.
- (2) First-year students are required to purchase a 13-, 15-, or unlimited-meal plan during their first semester. During their second semester, they may switch to a 10-meal plan with \$790 Plus Dollars.
- (3) Some residential colleges and language houses have a dining requirement as part of their program.
- (4) Law School students are allowed to deposit a minimum \$200 per academic year into a dining spending account.

APPROVAL OF ENDOWMENT SPENDING POLICY

WHEREAS, the University's existing endowment spending policy, approved by the Board of Visitors in April 2001, must be revised to align with reduced investment return expectations and to allow the University an opportunity to make strategic adjustments from time to time;

RESOLVED that the endowment spending policy, effective July 1, 2005, shall be as follows:

The spending policy calls for a percentage increase in the annual distribution from the endowment, unless such increase causes the distribution to fall outside a range defined as 3.5 percent on the low end and 5.5 percent on the high end of the

market value of the Pooled Endowment Fund. If the distribution falls outside of this range, the Finance Committee may recommend either raising or lowering the rate of increase. The first distribution under the new policy would be made in January 2006.

For Class A shares, the annual increase is pegged to inflation, where "inflation" is defined as the Higher Education Price Index (HEPI) or its equivalent. It is set initially at 3.6 percent and will be reviewed in five years.

For Class B shares, the annual payout is set at the level of the June 2005 distribution, until such time that the payment has been reduced to a unit distribution identical to that of the Class A shares, from which point the annual distribution will be identical to that of the Class A shares.

APPROVAL OF REVISED ENROLLMENT PROJECTIONS

WHEREAS, the University's existing enrollment plan, approved by the Board of Visitors in April 2003, must be revised to reflect projected increases in the undergraduate and graduate enrollment levels; and

WHEREAS, the Board previously discussed the University's action plan relative to enrollment growth - to grow by 1,100 undergraduate students and 400 graduate students over the next decade; and

WHEREAS, the University is committed to serving the higher education needs of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the nation; and

WHEREAS, the revised enrollment projections for 2005-2006 through 2009-2010 were submitted to the State Council of Higher Education in Virginia on March 17, 2005;

RESOLVED that the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer is authorized to develop plans with the State Council of Higher Education in Virginia which will put the University on track to increase total enrollment by 1,500 students over the next decade; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that all undergraduate growth maintain the current mix of in-state and out-of-state students.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
STUDENT AFFAIRS AND ATHLETICS COMMITTEE
11:15 - 11:25 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Committee met on Monday, March 21, at 9:40 a.m., in the offices of Mr. Farrell at Dominion Power, 120 Tredegar Street in Richmond. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Student Affairs and Athletics Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 9:40 a.m., Monday, March 21, 2005 in the offices of Mr. Farrell at Dominion Power, 120 Tredegar Street in Richmond; Thomas F. Farrell, II, Chair, presided. G. Slaughter Fitz-Hugh, Jr., W. Heywood Fralin, James W. Head, Ms. Glynn D. Key, Warren M. Thompson, Ms. Georgia M. Willis, and Gordon F. Rainey, Jr., Rector, were present

Ms. Susan Y. Dorsey and Don R. Pippin participated by telephone.

Present as well were Leonard W. Sandridge, Alexander G. Gilliam, Jr., Alton Martin, Ms. Patricia M. Lampkin, Ms. Yoke San L. Reynolds, Craig K. Littlepage, J. Milton Adams, Ms. Christina Morrell, Richard Kovatch, James Booz, Noah Sullivan, Ms. Rebecca Keyworth, Ms. Meghan Sullivan, David Hobbs, and Ms. Amy D. Cronin.

After opening the meeting, the Chair recognized the Secretary, who announced that Catherine Neale, the Student Member-elect, won a Truman Scholarship over the weekend.

UNIVERSITY HOUSING

The Chair then asked Ms. Lampkin, Vice President for Student Affairs, to present the Agenda.

Ms. Lampkin reminded Members that information about University housing had been presented to them at the Committee meeting last April. At the meeting today, she said, there would be a three-part report which would be an update on work that has been taking place in Student Affairs, in the Office of the Provost, and in the Office of the Vice President for Finance.

She then asked Mr. Adams, Vice Provost for Academic Programs, to discuss the residential plan. The residential plan, Mr. Adams said, is connected to the educational mission of the University. The best of similar practices at other universities have influenced it and the plan tries to account for differences based on student needs. Consideration of student housing policies thus includes consideration of the University's educational mission; and the University's educational mission, as expressed in the residential plan, provides a framework for making decisions about future types of residential communities.

The plan, in other words, is an indication of the academic component of the University's housing policy.

Ms. Christina Morrell, Assistant Vice President for Student Affairs, continued with an explanation of programmatic objectives and housing options. These objectives include - particularly in first-year housing - instilling a sense of community, encouraging diversity and a sense of involvement, and developing leadership.

Mr. Richard Kovatch, Associate Vice President for Business Operations, followed with a summary of the Alderman Road Housing Replacement Project, which would replace 11 of the 13 existing dormitories in the area; the 11 are more than 35 years old (the other two, Cauthen and Woody Houses, were built in the last ten years). The Project would replace 1,440 beds with 1,600 to 1,800 beds, and construction should begin in 2006. The present dormitories will be taken down a few at a time in order to take as few beds as possible out of use; the first phase of the Project, in fact, will replace two residence halls - 220 to 250 beds.

Turning to upperclass housing in his presentation, Mr. Kovatch said the University of Virginia Foundation has acquired several apartment complexes adjacent to the Grounds, for a total of 261 beds. These apartments, he said, are a manifestation of a "public/private partnership," a new concept in the management of student housing for the University. He said, too, that the University has plans to construct dormitories with an additional 250 beds, but not before 2010-2011.

Mr. Kovatch then described changes in the housing assignment process, changes which reflect the University's recent guarantees that University housing will be available to all second-year students who want it. Rising second-year

students had to apply by October 1st this past fall; they received priority over other upperclass applicants and they received offers of housing by November 5th. He said 1,865 offers were made this year - as opposed to 1,665 last year - and 1,288 of these were accepted (1,332 offers were accepted last year). As for upperclass students beyond those who would be in their second year in the University next fall, 768 offers were made and 652 acceptances were received (the figures for last year were 935 and 801, respectively). The low acceptance figure for rising second-year students was not surprising, he said, and he thought that the early date for signing contracts was probably a factor.

Ms. Morrell reported briefly on new efforts in off-Grounds housing. Three fora have been held since the current academic session began, and some 300 parents and 18 landlords participated. She described new mechanisms that have been put in place to assist students interested in living off the Grounds of the University. A new Student-Tenant Bill of Rights should be in place by May and a new student publication on off-Grounds housing will be off the press in August.

Ms. Willis complimented Ms. Lampkin and the presenters on their work. She remarked that some parents are concerned about firearms in off-Grounds housing. Ms. Lampkin replied regulation of firearms beyond the Grounds of the University was properly a matter of concern to the City and County authorities. She commented that the Housing Office does have the means to store properly registered hunting rifles belonging to students living in University housing.

Mr. Head asked if, with the additional beds to be constructed in Alderman Road, first-year students will be moved out of Hereford College. Mr. Kovatch replied that during the construction of the replacement dormitories, two of the existing buildings at Hereford will be assigned to first-year students. Perhaps in the future, he speculated, all of Hereford College will be assigned to first-year students. Part of the Alderman Road Replacement Project is to create stronger physical connections with Hereford College.

Mr. Head also commented on the possibility of changing the dormitory assignment process for first-year students, i.e., doing away with the present policy under which students can indicate a preference for assignment to McCormick Rd. as opposed to Alderman Rd. He said doing away with the preference might

encourage more diversity in the dormitories. Mr. Kovatch replied that there are arguments on both sides of the question.

Ms. Lampkin summarized the presentations and proposed a resolution reaffirming the University's policy of 50-odd years' standing that all first-year students be required to live together in University housing, and endorsing the replacement of the Alderman Road dormitories and the use of the replacement residence halls as first-year housing.

The Committee approved the following resolution and recommended it to the full Board for approval:

APPROVAL OF HOUSING REQUIREMENTS FOR ALL FIRST-YEAR STUDENTS AND PLANNING FOR THE REPLACEMENT OF ALDERMAN ROAD RESIDENCES

WHEREAS, the University of Virginia's educational mission extends to the University residences where undergraduate students live and the related residential programming in which they engage; and

WHEREAS, an undergraduate student's first year is a critical time for assimilation into the University community; and

WHEREAS, having all first-year students live together is central to this process; and

WHEREAS, the Architect of the University has endorsed the continued use of the Alderman Road area as the principal location for first-year student housing and its expansion; and

WHEREAS, most of the residence halls on Alderman Road must be replaced;

RESOLVED the Board of Visitors endorses and reaffirms the requirement that all first-year students live together in University housing for the purpose of creating community and a comprehensive first-year experience; and

RESOLVED FURTHER the Board of Visitors endorses and supports planning to proceed with the replacement of the Alderman Road residences as housing for first-year students.

- - - - -

VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Ms. Lampkin reported on several matters.

She said a sexual assault policy has been finalized and was adopted last week. It has been publicized widely within the University community and in the local press and reactions and feedback have been quite positive.

Student safety remains a concern. There has been a spate, recently, of armed robberies and assaults on students and several arrests have been made. The University has sent mass e-mail notices to students with reminders about personal safety. The University Police and students have been working together on these issues. Additional lighting has been installed on the Grounds and Student Affairs staff have been working with local landlords, who have expressed concern.

Ms. Lampkin commented on the recent student elections, which came one year after the University Board of Elections began its work. There were across the board increases in voter turnout, with 53% of all undergraduates voting and a 116% increase in the turnout of graduate and professional students. Citing more figures, she said 61% of the first-year class voted (up 17%), 57% of McIntire students (up 43%) and 52% of students in the College (up 33%).

In comparison with other ACC schools, only Duke University was ahead of the University in terms of undergraduate voter participation.

There was also a significant increase in the number of candidates - from 92 to 143 - and in the number of contested races.

THE CHAIR OF THE HONOR COMMITTEE

Ms. Lampkin then introduced Ms. Meghan Sullivan, the Chair of the Honor Committee, who commented on the recent Honor referenda and introduced her successor (elected the day before), Mr. David Hobbs, a third-year student in the McIntire School from Jackson, Mississippi.

The Chair thanked Ms. Sullivan for her outstanding work as Chair of the Honor Committee during the past year.

REPORT FROM THE STUDENT COUNCIL

Ms. Lampkin introduced Mr. Noah Sullivan, President of the Student Council, and Ms. Rebecca Keyworth, Vice President for Organizations.

Mr. Sullivan gave a brief report on Student Council's activities this year. He said the most ambitious project was the reform of the appropriations process - i.e., the appropriation of the funds collected as part of the Student Activities Fee. He described this in outline and then asked Ms. Keyworth to give a more detailed account.

The Chair thanked Mr. Sullivan for his work as President of the Student Council.

RECERTIFICATION PROCESS

The Chair asked Mr. Littlepage, Director of Athletics, to present the remainder of the Agenda.

Mr. Littlepage introduced Mr. James Booz, Associate Athletics Director for Compliance, and together they reported on the required (by the NCAA) "verified and evaluated institutional self-study" to affirm the fundamental integrity of the University's athletics programs. The NCAA requires a self-study of this sort once every ten years, and the principles to be studied (in the words of the NCAA Bylaws) include "Governance and Commitment to Rules Compliance," "Academic Integrity," and "Equity and Student-Athlete Welfare." Work on the self-study will begin this spring.

On motion, the meeting was adjourned at 10:55 a.m.

AGG:lah

These minutes have been posted to the University of Virginia's Board of Visitors website.

<http://www.virginia.edu/bov/studentminutes.html>

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
COMMITTEE ON THE UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA'S
COLLEGE AT WISE
11:25 - 11:30 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Committee on The University of Virginia's College at Wise met on Thursday, March 31, at 4:30 p.m., in the East Oval Room in The Rotunda.

The Committee and recommended to the full Board of Visitors the enrollment projections for the College as required by the State Council of Higher Education for Virginia.

Mr. Ern presented a brief update on issues pertaining to the College that did not require action by the Board.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA
BOARD OF VISITORS COMMITTEE SUMMARY
SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON DIVERSITY
11:30 - 11:40 a.m., Friday, April 1, 2005
East Oval Room, The Rotunda

The Committee met on Monday, March 21, at 11:05 a.m., in the offices of Mr. Farrell at Dominion Power, 120 Tredegar Street in Richmond. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Special Committee on Diversity of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 11:05 a.m., Monday, March 21, 2005, in the offices of Mr. Farrell at Dominion Energy, 120 Tredegar Street, Richmond; Warren M. Thompson, Chair, presided. James W. Head, Ms. Glynn D. Key, Ms. Georgia M. Willis, and Gordon F. Rainey, Jr., Rector, were present. John O. Wynne participated by telephone.

Also present were Leonard W. Sandridge, Alexander G. Gilliam, Jr., Alton A. Martin, Ms. Patricia M. Lampkin, Ms. Yoke San L. Reynolds, Milton L. Adams, and Ms. Amy D. Cronin.

The Chair opened the meeting by saying that he wanted to have a discussion of the Committee's role from this point forward. Diversity at the University clearly is an important subject, something that comes up at every meeting of the Board of Visitors. The Committee has accomplished something in that awareness of diversity across the University has been heightened and the search for the Chief Officer for Diversity and Equity is underway. Nonetheless, he said, the Committee cannot point to measurable evidence of successes. The lack of quantifiable success would be unacceptable in, for example, the corporate world. What should the Committee do here on out?

Ms. Willis suggested first that whatever course of action the Committee decides on should be a team effort. She went on to suggest that the Committee draw up an agenda and goals to be accomplished before the Chief Officer for Diversity and Equity arrives.

Ms. Key commented that the hiring of the Chief Officer for Diversity and Equity will be a wonderful opportunity for the University.

Mr. Head said he thought the Committee has accomplished a great deal. Students are aware of the issues in ways that they were not before and have been talking to him all year about the Committee and its work. He has been happy with the work of the Committee and the fact that it has been "holding the Administration to the fire." The Committee is building a culture, and that fact is very important and is what it is supposed to be doing, but it is very difficult to quantify. He suggested that polling of student satisfaction could be useful and would be a way of quantifying the Committee's successes.

Mr. Wynne said the Committee should not devise an agenda for itself that would put it ahead of the Chief Officer for Diversity and Equity before his arrival at the University. The Chief Officer should have a role in this. As for quantifying performance, he said it takes time to measure the success of the kinds of things the Committee has been trying to accomplish. Before the Chief Officer's arrival, the Committee could with profit survey other institutions to see what they have been doing with these issues. He said there is no reason why the University in the end can't be a leader in diversity. But he said it was not wise for the Committee to try to come up with something at this meeting.

The Chair asked Ms. Reynolds, Vice President for Finance, to report on the search for the Chief Officer.

Ms. Reynolds said the group of applicants is strong. The search committee has identified twelve semi-finalists and the first round of interviews will begin next week - these will take place at a location or locations away from the University. She said the committee hopes to have the finalists visit the Grounds by the end of April. The semi-finalists represent a good range - some are academic administrators and some come from the corporate world. The President will interview the finalists and will make the final decision. She hopes to have a decision in May and to have the Chief Officer on board at the University in the summer.

Mr. Wynne emphasized his suggestion that other schools be surveyed on their diversity efforts; Mr. Sandridge, the Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, said a survey has been started.

The Chair, recalling the discussion on procurement from minority business that took place at the last meeting of the Committee, asked Mr. Sandridge if anything in that regard has transpired since then. Mr. Sandridge replied that he would have a report on this at the Board meeting next week. A temporary person from outside the University has been hired to work with the administration on this question. The process of certifying minority vendors with the state's processes has been speeded up, and he said he expects "quantum jumps" in the number of minority vendors doing business with the University in the next year. He said he thought it would be possible to set goals at the next meeting of the Board.

The Chair speculated on student perceptions of how the diversity efforts to date are working. He asked how we can improve student perceptions of how we are doing.

Ms. Key suggested that Student Council's listing of some 500 student organizations, referred to during the meeting of the Student Affairs Committee earlier in the morning, might be a way both of assessing results and "getting out the message."

Mr. Farrell suggested the format of the several town meetings of students organized by the Vice President for Student Affairs and Members of the Student Affairs Committee. Perhaps a series of town meetings with Members of the Special Committee on Diversity might be helpful.

Mr. Head commented that there are demonstrable benefits of direct Board involvement with students.

Mr. Farrell said only a few Members of the Committee, not the whole Committee, should be at these meetings.

The Chair said it would be important for the Rector and the President to be at these meetings to demonstrate the highest support for diversity. He asked Ms. Lampkin to arrange such a meeting or meetings. Mr. Farrell commented that it had been useful at the gatherings arranged for the Student Affairs Committee to invite not the student leaders who generally interact with the Board, but the students who are not otherwise involved in such things.

The Chair then asked the Committee if it should be continued as a Special Committee, pointing out that special committees must be renewed annually, at the Annual Meeting of the Board in June.

The Rector said it is wrong to chastise the Committee which has worked all along with the President's Commission on Diversity and Equity and properly could not act until the Commission made its recommendations. The Committee, in fact, has played a very useful and important role.

The Chair commented that the Committee and the Board can give guidance and support to the President, who has taken a very active role. Nevertheless, there is still discontent. He asked how the Committee could better position the President in these efforts.

Mr. Wynne said the most important thing at the moment is to hire the right person as Chief Officer. That person must be someone the President has confidence in - the President can't make diversity efforts succeed by himself.

Ms. Cronin, the President's Chief of Staff, commented that diversity efforts are discussed at every meeting of the President's Cabinet, whose members are expected to make progress reports.

Mr. Wynne said it is also important to get interaction between the Board and students who are leading diversity efforts.

Ms. Willis commented that informal, "unarranged" contacts between Board Members and these students would be useful.

The Chair suggested that a five minute video from the President to incoming and prospective students would be useful, an expanded version of the video message from the President now shown at Summer Orientation.

Mr. Farrell summed up this part of the discussion by saying that the President should be informed of the Committee's interest in helping him with his efforts at creating a more diverse climate at the University.

The Rector said benchmarks on the University's efforts in relation to efforts at other institutions should be developed in collaboration with the Chief Officer after that person arrives at the University. Goals and comparisons should be specific and measurable.

Ms. Cronin said a report on surveys of other institutions could be given at the next meeting of the Committee.

- - - - -

On motion, the meeting was adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

AGG:lah

These minutes have been posted to the University of Virginia's Board of Visitors website.

<http://www.virginia.edu/bov/specialcommminutes.html>