The Committee met on Tuesday, March 13, 2007, at 10:45 a.m., in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 11:00 a.m., Tuesday, March 13, 2007, in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda; Ms. Georgia Willis Fauber, Chair, presided. Don R. Pippin, Warren M. Thompson, and Thomas F. Farrell, II, Rector, were present.

Also present were A. Macdonald Caputo, Ms. Susan Y. Dorsey, and Ms. Anne Elizabeth Mullen.


Carey J. Mignerey, the Student Member-elect, was present as an observer.

The Chair opened the meeting and gave a brief overview of the Agenda. She then asked Ms. Deily, Director of Audits, to present the Agenda.

AUDIT DEPARTMENT ACCOMPLISHMENTS

Ms. Deily told the Committee of various accomplishments of members of her staff. As for audit projects during the current fiscal year, she said 80% of the audits scheduled for the year have been completed or are in progress. Most scheduled projects will be done by the end of the fiscal year on June 30th.

As for non-scheduled audit projects, all have been completed or are in progress.
COMMITTEE GOALS AND WORK PLAN

Ms. Deily gave a brief progress report on the accomplishment of the Committee's goals and work plan for 2006-2007; the goals and work plan were agreed to at the Board Retreat in July.

REPORT ON RESEARCH COMPLIANCE TRAINING

Ms. Deily asked Dr. Gomez, Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies, to introduce Mr. Ralph Allen, Professor of Chemistry, Director of the Office of Environmental Health and Safety, and Associate Vice Provost for Research.

Dr. Gomez, in introducing Mr. Allen, talked about the University's efforts in complying with the regulations on the disposal of hazardous material, as well as other aspects of the University's bio-safety program.

Mr. Allen's presentation was focused on the disposal of hazardous wastes, particularly radioactive material. It is important that this be done properly, he said, and he cited examples of hefty fines levied on universities who have not done a good job in this regard. The University of Virginia has been in compliance with the regulations governing waste disposal.

The Chair thanked Mr. Allen for his report and commended him for his good work.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

On motion, the Committee adopted the following resolution to go into Executive Session:

That the Audit and Compliance Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia convene in Executive Session to review and discuss the audit of specific University and Medical Center departments, to include consulting with General Counsel on compliance with federal CMS regulations. Our oversight review will involve evaluating the performance of specific University managers and personnel and, in the case of the Medical Center, evaluation of its proprietary business-related information relating to adequacy of billing documentation and fiscal management practices where disclosure at this time would adversely affect the competitive position of
the Medical Center. This is provided for in Section 2.2-3711 (A) (1), (7) and (23) of the Code of Virginia.

The Committee went into Executive Session at 11:20 a.m.

At 11:25 a.m., the Committee resumed in Open Session and adopted the following resolution certifying that its meeting in Executive Session had been conducted in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act:

That we vote on and record our certification that, to the best of each Board member's knowledge, only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements and which were identified in the motion(s) authorizing the closed session, were heard, discussed or considered in closed session.

APPROVAL OF SUMMARY OF AUDIT FINDINGS

On motion, the Committee adopted the following resolution approving the Summary of Audit Findings for the period August 1, 2006 – January 31, 2007, and recommended it to the full Board for approval:

RESOLVED that the Summary of Audit Findings for the period August 1, 2006, through January 31, 2007, as presented by the Director of Audits, is approved as recommended by the Audit and Compliance Committee.

On further motion, the Committee adjourned.
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The Committee met on Tuesday, March 13, 2007, at 12:45 p.m., in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Buildings and Grounds Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 12:55 p.m., Tuesday, March 13, 2007, in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda; Lewis F. Payne, Chair, presided. W. Heywood Fralin, Daniel R. Abramson, Ms. Susan Y. Dorsey, Don R. Pippin, Gordon F. Rainey, Jr., Ms. Anne Elizabeth Mullen, and Thomas F. Farrell, II, Rector, were present.

Alan A. Diamonstein participated by telephone.

Ms. Georgia Willis Fauber, A. Macdonald Caputo, Ms. Glynn D. Key, and John O. Wynne were present as well.

Carey J. Mignerey, the Student Member-elect, participated as an observer.


The Chair opened the meeting and gave a brief overview of the items to be considered in the meeting. He then asked Ms. Sheehy, Vice President for Management and Budget, to present the Agenda.

CONSENT AGENDA: EASEMENT, CRISPELL DRIVE

The first item on the Agenda, Ms. Sheehy said, was a Consent matter – the granting of an easement to the City of Charlottesville for public access to the Crispell Drive extension. She explained that the expansion of the South Garage
in the Medical Center area required the partial closing of 15th Street, but with the understanding that Crispell Drive would be extended to Monroe Lane. The Charlottesville City Council agreed to the closing of 15th Street and the extension of Crispell Drive if the University would either dedicate the new road extension to the City or provide the City with a public access easement. The University has chosen the latter option.

On motion, the Committee approved the following resolution and recommended it to the full Board for approval:

APPROVAL OF A PERMANENT EASEMENT ON CRIPPELL DRIVE (CITY OF CHARLOTTESVILLE)

RESOLVED, the Board approves the granting of a permanent easement to the City of Charlottesville for public access to the Crispell Drive extension, on property owned by The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia; and

RESOLVED FURTHER that appropriate officers of the University are authorized to execute said easement.

ACTION ITEMS:

ADDITION TO THE SIX YEAR CAPITAL OUTLAY PLAN (2008-14): IMPROVEMENTS TO THE BASEBALL AND SOFTBALL FACILITIES

Ms. Sheehy said that since the last meeting of the Committee, at which the 2008-14 Six Year Capital Outlay Plan was approved, another project has been proposed for inclusion in the Plan.

The new project, set at $6,200,000, would improve the baseball and softball facilities on the North Grounds. Some 1,000 seats would be added on the right field line at the baseball stadium and the space underneath the stadium would be built out to add a visiting team locker room, an officials’ locker room, office space, and a weight training area.

At the softball field at the Park, improvements would include dugout modifications, bullpens, field lighting, grandstand improvements, site infrastructure, a team building,
toilets/concessions, and an improved and more inviting pathway to the facility.

Feasibility studies for both projects will be undertaken this spring; full planning and design and subsequent construction, however, is contingent on successful fundraising.

On motion, the Committee approved the following amendment and recommended it to the Finance Committee and to the full Board for approval:

APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO THE 2008-14 SIX YEAR CAPITAL OUTLAY PLAN: IMPROVEMENTS TO THE BASEBALL AND SOFTBALL FACILITIES

RESOLVED that Improvements to Baseball and Softball Facilities is approved as an amendment to the 2008-14 Six-Year Capital Outlay Plan for the Academic Division in the 2008-10 biennium.

PROJECT BUDGET INCREASE

Ms. Sheehy reminded the Committee that the Board of Visitors in 2003 first approved the projected Claude C. Moore Medical Education Building as a $20.7 million item in the 2004-10 Six Year Capital Outlay Plan. In the fall of 2005, the Board approved a new project budget of $30 million; this reflected a bigger building than originally planned, a new site, revised programming and escalation in costs.

It is proposed that the budget be increased by $5 million to $35 million, to reflect the escalation in construction costs. The entire project is to be paid for with gifts from the School of Medicine.

The proposed increase must be reviewed by the Finance Committee and approved before it is sent to the full Board for approval.

The projected increase, Ms. Sheehy explained, is being presented to the two Committees and to the full Board because of a Board policy, adopted in 2004, which requires that all capital project budget increases in excess of 10% be approved by the Board.
The Committee approved, by resolution, the proposed budget increase and recommended it to the Finance Committee and to the full Board for approval.

APPROVAL OF PROJECT BUDGET INCREASE IN EXCESS OF 10 PERCENT:
THE CLAUDE C. MOORE MEDICAL EDUCATION BUILDING

RESOLVED that the budget for the Claude C. Moore Medical Education Building is increased by $5 million, from $30 million to $35 million.

FREDERIC W. SCOTT MEMORIAL

Ms. Sheehy reported that Mr. Frederic W. Scott, Jr., of North Garden, an alumnus of the University, has proposed a memorial to his grandfather, Frederic W. Scott of Richmond. The elder Mr. Scott (1862-1939) was Rector of the University from 1930 to 1939 and gave the original Scott Stadium. The memorial would be a gift from the younger Mr. Scott.

Mr. Scott has proposed that the memorial be placed on McCormick Road, across from Gilmer Hall and at the bus stop between Echols and Page Houses, which are first year dormitories. The memorial, Ms. Sheehy said, would consist of a bust and pedestal, some brick work, a bench, two drinking fountains and architectural elements from the first gates – now dismantled – at Scott Stadium.

The proposed resolution would authorize the memorial.

A second resolution would approve the design of the memorial.

Mr. Neuman, the Architect for the University, presented a proposed schematic design for the memorial. Once the Board has approved the design, the project will go to Richmond for review by the State Art and Architectural Review Board.

There was considerable discussion among Members about the serious possibility of vandalism to the memorial at the proposed site.
At the conclusion of the discussion, the Rector suggested that the matter—i.e., both resolutions—be tabled until further discussion can be had with the donor about the site of the memorial. The Chair said it is important that the donor understand that the Committee approves the idea of the memorial but has serious concerns about the proposed site. With that, he suggested that the Committee vote to approve a motion to table action on the memorial until discussions have been had with the donor about the site.

The Committee voted to table action as the Chair suggested.

REPORT BY THE VICE PRESIDENT

The Chair asked Ms. Sheehy to give her customary report.

Ms. Sheehy reported on progress being made on the Committee’s Goals and Work Plan, as agreed to at the Board Retreat in July. She referred Members to the written report previously distributed to them, drawing attention particularly to the completion of the historic structure report for the Rotunda which is being reviewed in draft. She mentioned as well the report, “Sustainability Assessment 2006,” copies of which were then handed out to the Committee.

The Chair passed around copies of a letter he had received from a member of the faculty of the School of Architecture, congratulating the Committee on its recent approval of a policy on LEED certification.

REPORTS BY THE ARCHITECT FOR THE UNIVERSITY

Mr. Neuman, the Architect for the University, referred to “Sustainability Assessment 2006” and said it was a group effort on the part of a number of University offices and staff.

He then gave a presentation on the alleys on either side of the Lawn. They are unattractive, he said, and at present are a safety hazard. After talking with a number of people, including authorities from Monticello, Poplar Forest and the Commonwealth, his office has devised a proposed design. He said Poe Alley, behind West Lawn and between Pavilions III and V, will be used as a prototype for the proposed design. Once it is done, comments from Members and others will be invited. The reworking of Poe Alley will include pavement, curbing and lamps. A
portion of it will be done over the summer and thus will be available for the Committee's review at the September meeting.

There was considerable discussion of Mr. Neuman's proposal. The consensus was that the character of the Lawn alleys must be maintained, while at the same time safety improvements must be made.

The Chair suggested that the Committee review the proposed design once more before work on Poe Alley begins. This will be done at the next meeting of the Committee.

Mr. Neuman then gave a presentation on the railings above the Lawn colonnades. The present railings, a restoration from the mid-1970s, are not an accurate reconstruction of the original railings (which were removed in the 19th century and replaced with iron railings of a different design). Mr. Neuman proposed a restoration based on Jefferson's design and on the research that his office has carried out.

Mr. Neuman then reviewed work on the Grounds Plan, which is in progress. He focused in particular on environmental concerns and traffic management.

- - - - - - - - -

On motion, the meeting was adjourned at 2:15 p.m.
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The Committee met on Tuesday, March 13, 2007, at 10:00 a.m., in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Educational Policy Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 10:15 a.m., Tuesday, March 13, 2007, in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda; Ms. Glynn D. Key, Chair, presided. Ms. Susan Y. Dorsey, Ms. Anne Elizabeth Mullen, Lewis F. Payne, John O. Wynne, and Thomas F. Farrell, II, Rector, were present.

Alan A. Diamonstein and E. Darracott Vaughan, Jr., M.D., participated by telephone.

Ms. Georgia Willis Fauber, A. Macdonald Caputo, Don R. Pippin, and Gordon F. Rainey, Jr. also were present.


Carey J. Mignerey, the Student Member-elect, was present as an observer.

Ms. Key, the Chair, opened the meeting and called on Ms. Fauber, as an alumna of the McIntire School of Commerce, to make an announcement.

MCINTIRE SCHOOL RANKING

Ms. Fauber told the Committee that the McIntire School has just been rated by BusinessWeek as the second best undergraduate business school in the country. This is the second year in a row that McIntire has been so ranked.
The Chair asked Mr. Block, Vice President and Provost, to present the Agenda. Mr. Block first gave his customary report.

He outlined progress on the Committee's goals and work plan for the year, progress made since his previous report on the subject which was given to the Committee at its January meeting. The goals, he reminded the Committee, are centered on graduate education, technology transfer, the governing boards of other institutions, and undergraduate advising.

The Provost's Office, he said, is engaged on a year-long analysis of graduate education. As for technology transfer, his office will conduct site visits to at least three institutions with strong programs: Wake Forest University, Columbia University, and New York University. The visit to Wake Forest, the first scheduled, was to have taken place on February 2nd but was cancelled because of bad weather.

The Provost's Office is surveying the academic affairs and educational policy committees of several other institutions: Princeton University, the University of California at Berkeley, the University of Michigan, Harvard University, Duke University, the University of Chicago, Stanford University, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, the University of Maryland, and the University of Washington in Seattle.

And finally, an analysis, by the College of Arts & Sciences, of undergraduate advising is in progress; the Dean will report to the Committee in the spring.

Mr. Wynne raised several points about undergraduate advising in the context of the work of the Special Committee on Planning. The Chair explained the work that is being done in this regard.

**REACCREDITATION OF THE UNIVERSITY**

Mr. Block concluded his report with comments on the reaccreditation of the University by the Southern Association of Colleges and Schools (SACS), a process which is in progress. In February, he said, the University - as part of this process - submitted the Quality Enhancement Plan, which is one of the two main elements required by SACS. The Quality Enhancement Plan is
"to demonstrate university-wide commitment to student learning, with the objective of enhancing institutional quality and effectiveness." The University selected "enhancing student-faculty engagement" as the topic for the Plan. Later this month, Mr. Block said, a group from SACS will visit the University to review the University's Quality Enhancement Plan.

The work on reaccreditation will not be completed until the end of this year when SACS votes formally on the University's re-accreditation.

PROGRESS REPORT ON THE BOARD OF VISITORS RESEARCH ENHANCEMENT PLAN

Mr. Block asked Dr. Gomez, the Vice President for Research and Graduate Studies, to give a progress report on the Board of Visitors Research Enhancement Plan. He reminded the Committee that the Plan was adopted by the Board in 2004. It is a commitment by the University to become a research institution of international importance in select scientific and technological fields. It was set up, initially, to focus on three to five interdisciplinary fields where there is existing strong faculty talent. The plan for implementing this strategy is two-fold: 1) The recruitment of a cadre of the very best new faculty members to complete these interdisciplinary research groups; and 2) accelerated construction of new research space to accommodate the targeted activities, as well as attract or retain prominent faculty members.

Dr. Gomez reported that construction is proceeding on the Advanced Research and Technology Building at the Fontaine Research Park. The project is several months ahead of schedule and should be done by the spring of 2008. It will provide laboratory space. The other building project that is part of the Research Enhancement Plan is the Carter-Harrison Building (MR-6). Although it is to be a research facility of the School of Medicine, it will house research that links clinical and basic research. The building will have over 100,000 square feet, and it will accommodate 60 research teams.

Dr. Gomez then gave the Committee a brief report on faculty recruiting. Some 45 persons have been identified as top recruits, of whom 20 were contacted. Ten offers were made; six of these were accepted and another offer is in negotiation. He
described several of the outstanding scientists who accepted offers and told the Committee something of their work.

REPORT BY THE CHAIR-ELECT OF THE FACULTY SENATE

In the absence of Mr. Schwartz, the Chair of the Faculty Senate, who was out of town on University business, Mr. Ricardo Padron, Associate Professor of Spanish and Chair-elect of the Faculty Senate, gave the customary report on activities of the Senate since the last meeting of the Committee.

Mr. Padron said he wanted to report on one of the main issues on which the Senate is engaged at the moment, which is the President’s major planning initiative and the Ten Year Academic Plan. The Senate, he told the Committee, has been an active participant in the process, and he described some of its efforts.

These efforts have centered on the work of the Senate’s Committee on Planning and Development, which was formed three years ago to, as he said, “help broker and bring together conversations about both academic planning for the University as a whole and fundraising for academic initiatives.” The Committee works closely with both the Provost and with Mr. Sweeney, the Senior Vice President for Development and Public Affairs.

During this academic year, the Committee, whose membership is drawn from all schools of the University, has organized working dinners at the Provost’s house with diverse groups of faculty. Three “big ideas” have emerged from these gatherings: a College of Global Studies, a Center for Advanced Studies modeled as a rejuvenated version of the Shannon Center, and a yearly all-University theme.

The most recent of these dinners involved the whole Committee and the Executive Council of the Senate, together with the Provost, Mr. Sweeney, and Dr. Garson and Mr. Sandridge – the latter as co-chairs of the Commission on the Future of the University.

The Committee will hold two more dinners this spring.

The Chair thanked Mr. Padron for his report; the Rector seconded her thanks and commended the Senate for its work.
On motion, the meeting was adjourned at 10:45 a.m.
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The Committee met on Tuesday, March 13, 2007, at 11:15 a.m., in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda. The business conducted at that meeting is summarized in the Minutes which follow:

The Student Affairs and Athletics Committee of the Board of Visitors of the University of Virginia met, in Open Session, at 11:25 a.m., Tuesday, March 13, 2007, in the Lower East Oval Room of the Rotunda; Ms. Susan Y. Dorsey, Chair, presided. Daniel R. Abramson, A. Macdonald Caputo, Ms. Georgia Willis Fauber, Ms. Glynn D. Key, Ms. Anne Elizabeth Mullen, Don R. Pippin, Warren M. Thompson, and Thomas F. Farrell, II, were present.

Present as well were W. Heywood Fralin, Lewis F. Payne, and Gordon F. Rainey, Jr.

Also present were Leonard W. Sandridge, Alexander G. Gilliam, Jr., Paul J. Forch, Ms. Patricia M. Lampkin, William B. Harvey, James L. Hilton, Ms. Yoke San L. Reynolds, David J. Prior, Craig K. Littlepage, Ms. Alison Tramba, and Ms. Jeanne Flippo Bailes.

Carey J. Mignerey, the Student Member-elect, was present as an observer.

The Chair opened the meeting and asked Ms. Lampkin, Vice President and Chief Student Affairs Officer, to present the Agenda.

VICE PRESIDENT'S REPORT

Ms. Lampkin reminded the Committee that the Spring Break has just ended, and she mentioned the impressive number of "alternative" Spring Break programs – programs in which students are engaged in social help projects – that were organized this year by students.

Student elections took place from February 21st through February 28th; it was the fourth set of elections managed by the
University Board of Elections, a student organization, since the Board was established in 2003. The elections ran smoothly and 36% of the total student body voted. The largest turnout was in the Law School with 54%; next was the College, at 42%. By class, the largest turnout was in the firstyear class with 53% participation.

Four referenda appeared on the ballot. Of these, three concerned constitutional amendments for the Student Council, the Honor Committee, and the Arts and Sciences Council – all passed. The fourth, an Honor Committee sanction proposal, did not pass.

Lauren Tilton, a rising fourth-year student from Metairie, Louisiana, was elected President of the Student Council. The Chairs of the Honor Committee and the University Judiciary Committee will be selected later this spring from their respective numbers by the elected members of the two Committees.

Ms. Lampkin told the Committee that this is the thirtieth year of the Office of African-American Affairs. An anniversary dinner will be held on March 28th, at which the keynote speaker will be The Honorable Leroy Hassell, a 1977 alumnus of the College and Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Virginia.

Ms. Lampkin reminded the Committee of the continuing efforts of Student Affairs in the general area of parent communication and programming. These efforts have been recognized with awards from the district competition of the Council for the Advancement and Support of Education, known as CASE. Two programs – the Student and Parent Orientation Program and the 2006 Handbook for Parents – have received awards of "excellence" in the district competition.

Modifications to the summer Orientation Program will be made this year to better serve the needs of transfer students, international students, and parents.

REPORT OF THE CHAIR OF THE HONOR COMMITTEE

Ms. Lampkin introduced Ms. Alison Tramba, a fourth year student in the School of Engineering and Applied Science, and Chair of the Honor Committee. Ms. Tramba gave a report on the activities of the Honor Committee over the past year.
The Committee, she said, revitalized and expanded the Diversity Advisory Board, which has developed an outreach program working through peer advising groups. The position of Vice Chair for Services has been replaced by a Vice Chair for Community Relations, who serves on the Executive Committee of the Honor Committee, and a Community Relations subcommittee has been created.

As part of its efforts to raise visibility, the Committee also has created an Academic Integrity subcommittee; the subcommittee is charged with redeveloping the "message" about Honor to students. The Committee held two town hall-style meetings with the possibility of more in the future. The Committee also will organize an Honor Week, which among other events will have an open house, a faculty lunch and an education campaign. The Committee, too, ran a Conscientious Retraction campaign and it increased its presentations to athletic teams through the Honor Educator pool.

This year, the Committee worked to transform its investigation process. Efficiency and thoroughness of investigations were improved; the Investigation Panels were restructured to emphasize their role as a fact finding mechanism and to remove components with the potential of appearing as adversarial. Students under investigation for violations of the Honor Code have been given more time to review the evidence against them and to respond in writing and the training of investigators has been recast in a more professional manner.

The Committee has made various technological improvements related to its work: the Honor website has been renovated, an academic integrity tutorial has been developed to assist students in research, and the case process has been digitized to improve further the confidentiality, efficiency and consistency of investigations and trial procedures.

Ms. Tramba told the Committee that the Honor Committee completed and released to the public the results of a faculty survey on the Honor System - the survey was conducted in the spring of 2006 and the published survey (a joint endeavor of the Center for Survey Research and the Honor Committee) was released late last fall. In response to comments from the faculty as published in the survey, the Honor Committee has formulated new policies, particularly in the areas of investigation reform and direct contact between the faculty and the Committee. Last
month, the Honor Committee released its formal response to the survey, in which it outlined changes made and policies anticipated to improve relations between the faculty and the Committee (the published Survey and the Honor Committee Response to it can be found as an Attachment to these Minutes on the Board of Visitors website: http://www.virginia.edu/bov/studentminutes.html).

Finally, Ms. Tramba reported on the results – as they pertained to the Honor System – of the elections just completed. Honor Representatives from the various schools of the University were elected and referenda were held on two Honor System matters: voters approved a change to the Constitution of the Honor Committee which will add two members from the College of Arts and Sciences, but rejected – by only 62 votes – a non-binding alternative to the single sanction.

Mr. Rainey commented that increasing the number of Representatives from the College would, he thought, strengthen the System. Mr. Pippin asked Ms. Tramba if she could comment on the effectiveness of the System; she replied that the close vote on the single sanction referendum and some of the comments in the Faculty Survey were evidence of concern about the System. Mr. Thompson asked if the Honor Pledge is posted in classrooms. Ms. Tramba replied that it is in some classrooms but not in all, and that the Committee is trying to encourage faculty to at least mention the Pledge on the first day of their respective classes. Mr. Thompson observed that he does not see the Pledge posted in classrooms at the Darden School and he suggested that the Board could help the Honor Committee in seeing to it that it is posted; when he was a student, he said that seeing the Pledge posted was a reinforcement of the principles of the System.

The Rector reminded Members of the incredible commitment of time on the part of members of the Honor Committee, particularly the Chair, and he asked the Board Members present to recognize Ms. Tramba for her hard work and dedication to the well-being of the Honor System. The Chair seconded the Rector’s comments and the Committee gave Ms. Tramba a round of applause.

Mr. Pippin asked Ms. Tramba to describe the orientation of newly appointed faculty members on the principles and workings of the Honor System. Ms. Tramba commented on that and on the ongoing reminders to faculty about the System. The orientation, Ms. Tramba said, is mostly voluntary.
Ms. Fauber wondered what the Board could do to strengthen faculty orientation and make it obligatory. The Chair noted the cooperation of Mr. Schwartz and the Faculty Senate this year in encouraging conversations between faculty and the Honor Committee. Mr. Pippin and the Rector added comments. The Chair wondered what the Law School vote on the single sanction had been; Ms. Lampkin said that was not possible to determine. Mr. Prior commented that there have been discussions among the faculty at Wise this year on the Honor System there: a point that has emerged from these discussions is what are the rights and responsibilities of the faculty in Honor matters? The Chair noted that Mr. Mignerey, the Student Member-elect, is a former Chair of the Honor Committee and that his input over the next year should be very helpful in Board discussions of the Honor System.

The Rector asked that the Chair and Ms. Key, Chair of the Educational Policy Committee, talk about how best to coordinate the Honor orientation of new faculty.

REPORT OF THE DIRECTOR OF ATHLETICS

The Chair asked Mr. Littlepage, Director of Athletics, to give his customary report.

Mr. Littlepage thanked the Chair for delivering remarks at his recent staff meeting. He then gave the Committee a progress report on meeting the goals of the Athletics Department. He highlighted the benefits of the new John Paul Jones Arena to the Athletics program. Emphasis in Athletics in the last few years, he noted, has been on raising money for facilities; henceforth, there will be emphasis placed on increasing endowment.

Nonetheless, he listed some capital projects that are under discussion.

Mr. Littlepage concluded his report with comments on the University's compliance with Title IX questions.

Noting the 93% student athlete graduation rate in 2006, Mr. Thompson asked how that compares with the graduation rate in 2002. Mr. Littlepage replied that the rate has varied from year to year, but it has always been above 90%. Commenting on Mr. Littlepage's comments about working to raise the endowment, Mr.
Fralin asked about Athletics' goals in this regard. Mr. Littlepage said the goal would be to raise the endowment, currently at about $100m, to $300m, which would fund about 320 full scholarships. In reply to a further question from Mr. Fralin, he said the University of North Carolina's endowment for athletics scholarships is larger than ours, but Duke's is even larger. Stanford University's endowment, however, he said is the best.

Mr. Caputo raised the possibility of tuition waivers by legislative action – by which he meant the possibility of the University being able to levy in-state tuition on athletes from out of state. Mr. Sandridge explained that this is not the practice in Virginia, though it is a very pressing need in the University's ability to provide inducements to out-of-state graduate students.

Ms. Fauber congratulated Mr. Littlepage on the 22 ACC championships won by University teams this past year. The Chair seconded those comments and asked Mr. Littlepage to convey the Committee's congratulations to Mr. Leitao on being named the ACC men's basketball coach of the year, and to the team for their invitation to participate in the NCAA championships.

-- -- -- -- -- -- --

On motion, the meeting was adjourned at 12:25 p.m.
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